
Snapshot Report

CES 2004-456PA4

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) assesses reading in two contexts described in the NAEP framework: reading for literary experience and to gain information. The NAEP reading scale ranges from 0 to 500.

Overall Reading Results for Pennsylvania

- In 2003, the average scale score for fourth-grade students in Pennsylvania was 219. This was not found to be significantly different¹ from the average score in 2002 (221), and was not found to be significantly different from the average score in 1992 (221).
- Pennsylvania's average score (219) in 2003 was not found to be significantly different from that of the nation's public schools (216).
- Of the 53 states and jurisdictions² that participated in the 2003 fourth-grade assessment, students' average scale scores in Pennsylvania were higher than those in 15 jurisdictions, not significantly different from those in 22 jurisdictions, and lower than those in 15 jurisdictions.
- The percentage of students in Pennsylvania who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 33 percent in 2003. This percentage was not found to be significantly different from 2002 (34 percent), and was not found to be significantly different from 1992 (32 percent).




NOTE: The NAEP reading scale ranges from 0 to 500, with the achievement levels corresponding to the following points: Below *Basic*, 207 or lower; *Basic*, 208-237; *Proficient*, 238-267; *Advanced*, 268 or above.

Performance of NAEP Reporting Groups in Pennsylvania						
	Percentage	Average	Percentage of students at			
Reporting groups	of students	Score	Below Basic	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Male	51	215	38	32	24	6
Female	49	222	32	32	28	8
White	74	227	25	35	31	9
Black	19	191	68	23	8	1
Hispanic	4	195	59	30	9	1
Asian/Pacific Islander	1					
American Indian/Alaska Native	#					
Free/reduced-price school lunch						
Eligible	38	198	58	28	13	1
Not eligible	60	231	21	35	33	11

Average Score Gaps Between Selected Groups

- In 2003, male students in Pennsylvania had an average score that was lower than that of female students (7 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1992 (6 points).
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Black students (36 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1992 (36 points).
- In 2003, White students had an average score that was higher than that of Hispanic students (32 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 1992 (35 points).
- In 2003, students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch had an average score that was higher than that of students who were eligible (33 points). This performance gap was not significantly different from that of 2002 (32 points).

Reading Scale Scores at Selected Percentiles



An examination of scores at different percentiles on the 0–500 NAEP reading scale at each grade indicates how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels of the distribution performed.

- # The estimate rounds to zero.
- --- Reporting standards not met; sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
- * Significantly different from 2003.
- ↑ Significantly higher than, ↓ lower than 2002.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1994, 2002, and 2003 Reading Assessments.

¹ Comparisons (higher/lower/not different) are based on statistical tests. The .05 level was used for testing statistical significance. Performance comparisons may be affected by differences in exclusion rates for students with disabilities and limited-English-proficient students in the NAEP samples and changes in sample sizes. NAEP sample sizes have increased since 2002 compared to previous years, resulting in smaller detectable differences than in previous assessments.
² "Jurisdictions" includes participating states and other jurisdictions (such as the District of Columbia and the Department of Defense Dependents Schools). NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for Free/reduced-price lunch is not displayed. Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. Visit http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/states/ for additional results and detailed information.